

- [0351] 11. Watson, C. L., et al., An in vivo model of human small intestine using pluripotent stem cells. *Nat Med*, 2014. 20(11): p. 1310-4.
- [0352] 12. Wang, X., et al., Cloning and variation of ground state intestinal stem cells. *Nature*, 2015. 522(7555): p. 173-8.
- [0353] 13. Fatehullah, A., S. H. Tan, and N. Barker, Organoids as an in vitro model of human development and disease. *Nat Cell Biol*, 2016. 18(3): p. 246-54.
- [0354] 14. Sinagoga, K. L. and J. M. Wells, Generating human intestinal tissues from pluripotent stem cells to study development and disease. *Embo j*, 2015. 34(9): p. 1149-63.
- [0355] 15. Li, M., I. A. de Graaf, and G. M. Groothuis, Precision-cut intestinal slices: alternative model for drug transport, metabolism, and toxicology research. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol*, 2016. 12(2): p. 175-90.
- [0356] 16. Musther, H., et al., Animal versus human oral drug bioavailability: do they correlate? *Eur J Pharm Sci*, 2014. 57: p. 280-91.
- [0357] 17. Lahar, N., et al., Intestinal subepithelial myofibroblasts support in vitro and in vivo growth of human small intestinal epithelium. *PLoS One*, 2011. 6(11): p. e26898.
- [0358] 18. Nguyen, D. G., et al., Bioprinted 3D Primary Liver Tissues Allow Assessment of Organ-Level Response to Clinical Drug Induced Toxicity In Vitro. *PLoS One*, 2016. 11(7): p. e0158674.
- [0359] 19. King, S. M., et al., 3D Proximal Tubule Tissues Recapitulate Key Aspects of Renal Physiology to Enable Nephrotoxicity Testing. *Front Physiol*, 2017. 8: p. 123.
- [0360] 20. Srinivasan, B., et al., TEER measurement techniques for in vitro barrier model systems. *J Lab Autom*, 2015. 20(2): p. 107-26.
- [0361] 21. Spence, J. R., et al., Directed differentiation of human pluripotent stem cells into intestinal tissue in vitro. *Nature*, 2011. 470(7332): p. 105-9.
- [0362] 22. Schweinlin, M., et al., Development of an Advanced Primary Human In Vitro Model of the Small Intestine. *Tissue Eng Part C Methods*, 2016. 22(9): p. 873-83.
- [0363] 23. Kauffman, A. L., et al., Alternative functional in vitro models of human intestinal epithelia. *Front Pharmacol*, 2013. 4.
- [0364] 24. VanDussen, K. L., et al., Development of an enhanced human gastrointestinal epithelial culture system to facilitate patient-based assays. *Gut*, 2015. 64(6): p. 911-20.
- [0365] 25. Takenaka, T., et al., Application of a Human Intestinal Epithelial Cell Monolayer to the Prediction of Oral Drug Absorption in Humans as a Superior Alternative to the Caco-2 Cell Monolayer. *J Pharm Sci*, 2016. 105(2): p. 915-24.
- [0366] 26. Takenaka, T., et al., Human small intestinal epithelial cells differentiated from adult intestinal stem cells as a novel system for predicting oral drug absorption in humans. *Drug Metab Dispos*, 2014. 42(11): p. 1947-54.
- [0367] 27. Paine, M. F., et al., Characterization of interintestinal and intrainestinal variations in human CYP3A-dependent metabolism. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*, 1997. 283(3): p. 1552-62.
- [0368] 28. van de Kerkhof, E. G., et al., Innovative methods to study human intestinal drug metabolism in vitro: precision-cut slices compared with ussing chamber preparations. *Drug Metab Dispos*, 2006. 34(11): p. 1893-902.
- [0369] 29. Kim, R. B., et al., Interrelationship between substrates and inhibitors of human CYP3A and P-glycoprotein. *Pharm Res*, 1999. 16(3): p. 408-14.
- [0370] 30. Peyrin-Biroulet, L., Anti-TNF therapy in inflammatory bowel diseases: a huge review. *Minerva Gastroenterol Dietol*, 2010. 56(2): p. 233-43.
- [0371] 31. Tomisato, W., et al., NSAIDs induce both necrosis and apoptosis in guinea pig gastric mucosal cells in primary culture. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol*, 2001. 281(4): p. G1092-100.
- [0372] 32. Cui, W., et al., Tumor necrosis factor alpha increases epithelial barrier permeability by disrupting tight junctions in Caco-2 cells. *Braz J Med Biol Res*, 2010. 43(4): p. 330-7.
- [0373] 33. Shi, Y. and D. Cheng, Beyond triglyceride synthesis: the dynamic functional roles of MGAT and DGAT enzymes in energy metabolism. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*, 2009. 297(1): p. E10-8.
- What is claimed is:
1. A three-dimensional, engineered, bioprinted, biological intestinal tissue model comprising:
    - (i) a layer of intestinal interstitial tissue comprising myofibroblasts; and
    - (ii) a layer of intestinal epithelial cells on the layer of intestinal interstitial tissue, to form the three-dimensional, engineered, biological intestinal tissue model.
  2. The intestinal tissue model of claim 1, wherein at least one of the layer of intestinal interstitial tissue comprises myofibroblasts and layer of intestinal epithelial cells further comprises at least one type of immune cells.
  3. The intestinal tissue model of claim 2, wherein the immune cells are myeloid cells.
  4. The intestinal tissue model of claim 3, wherein the myeloid cells are monocytes, macrophages, neutrophils, basophils, eosinophils, dendritic cells or megakaryocytes.
  5. The intestinal tissue model of any one of claims 2-4, wherein the immune cells are present in at least one of (a) the interstitial layer, (b) the epithelial cell layer, (c) between the interstitial layer and the epithelial cell layer, (d) on top of the epithelial cell layer, and (e) below the interstitial cell layer.
  6. The intestinal tissue model of claim 1 or 2, wherein the layer of intestinal epithelial cells comprises primary epithelial cells from a healthy donor.
  7. The intestinal tissue model of claim 1 or 2, wherein the layer of intestinal epithelial cells comprises primary epithelial cells from a diseased donor.
  8. The intestinal tissue model of claim 7, wherein the diseased donor has celiac disease, Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, irritable bowel syndrome, hemorrhoids, diverticulitis, inflammatory bowel disease, microscopic colitis, lymphocytic colitis, collagenous colitis, endocrine disorders, metabolic disorders, obesity, diabetes, dyslipidemia, intestinal or colorectal cancer.
  9. The intestinal tissue model of any one of claims 1-8, wherein the layer of intestinal epithelial cells further comprises at least one stem cell population.
  10. The intestinal tissue model of claim 9, wherein the at least one stem cell population is capable of differentiating.
  11. The method of any one of claims 1-10, wherein the intestinal tissue model further comprises tumor(s), tumor fragment(s), tumor cells or immortalized cells.